arXiv:1412.6822v4 [math.GR] 29 Jun 2016

# SPECTRA OF SCHREIER GRAPHS OF GRIGORCHUK'S GROUP AND SCHROEDINGER OPERATORS WITH APERIODIC ORDER

ROSTISLAV GRIGORCHUK, DANIEL LENZ, AND TATIANA NAGNIBEDA

Abstract. We study spectral properties of the Laplacians on Schreier graphs arising from Grigorchuk's group acting on the boundary of the infinite binary tree. We establish a connection between the action of $G$ on its space of Schreier graphs and a subshift associated to a non-primitive substitution and relate the Laplacians on the Schreier graphs to discrete Schroedinger operators with aperiodic order. We use this relation to prove that the spectrum of the anisotropic Laplacians is a Cantor set of Lebesgue measure zero. We also use it to show absence of eigenvalues both almost-surely and for certain specific graphs. The methods developed here apply to a large class of examples.

## Introduction

In this article we relate two previously unconnected areas. These are Schreier graphs of self-similar groups and Schroedinger operators associated to aperiodic order. This allows us to solve a problem, which was open for some fifteen years, viz the spectral type problem for the Laplacians on Schreier graphs of the first group of intermediate growth, for all possible weights attached to the generators.

The first group of intermediate growth, introduced by the first author in [21, 22], is generally known as Grigorchuk's group $G$ and this is how we will refer to it.[1] The group $G$ can be viewed as a group of automorphisms of the full infinite binary tree $\mathcal{T}$. The action by automorphisms on the tree $\mathcal{T}$ extends by continuity to an action by homeomorphisms on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{T}$ of the tree. These actions give rise to Schreier graphs (see Section 1.2 for detailed definition): for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a finite graph $\Gamma_n$ arises from the (transitive) action on the $n$-th level of the tree; and for every infinite ray $\xi \in \partial\mathcal{T}$ an infinite graph $\Gamma_\xi$ arises from the orbit of $\xi$ under the action of the group on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{T}$. As Schreier graphs, these graphs have edges labeled by the generators of the group in such a way that each vertex has exactly one edge of each label incident with it. The group $G$ naturally comes with a set of 4 generators $\{a, b, c, d\}$ all of which are involutions, so in this case we can disregard the orientation.

Thinking of the labels $a, b, c, d$ as encoding some weights $t, u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ on the edges of the graphs, we obtain the Laplacians $M_n(t, u, v, w)$ and $M_\xi(t, u, v, w)$ acting on the $l^2$-space on the vertex set of the corresponding graph. It is the spectral theory of these Laplacians that is meant by spectral theory of the Schreier graphs of $G$. In the case when $t, u, v, w > 0$ with $t + u + v + w = 1$ these operators are the Markov operators of the random walk on these graphs with transition probabilities $t, u, v, w$.

*Date*: July 1, 2016.

*Key words and phrases.* Substitutional subshift, self-similar group, Schreier graph, Laplacian, spectrum of Schroedinger operators.

[1] in spite of the first author's reluctance

Spectral theory of these Laplacians was initiated in the article [4], and methods developed there were successfully applied to various examples of self-similar groups [28, 29, 26, 30]. However, only the "isotropic" case with the values $u = v = w$ that imply periodicity of the operators $M_\xi(t, u, v, w)$, could be treated so far. The spectral problem in the case of arbitrary weights remained open.

Here, we solve this case by a new and completely different approach. Our approach relies on the construction of a subshift associated a certain substitution intimately related to the algebraic structure of the group. This substitution

$$\kappa : a \mapsto aca, b \mapsto d, c \mapsto b, d \mapsto c$$

first appeared in connection with Grigorchuk's group in the work of Lysenok [36], where it was used to get a recursive presentation of $G$ by generators and relations:

$$G = \langle a, b, c, d \mid 1 = a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = \kappa^k((ad)^4) = \kappa^k((adacac)^4), k = 0, 1, 2, ....\rangle.$$

It is remarkable that the substitution $\kappa$ serves not only to define $G$ algebraically, but also, as will be shown here, to describe its spectral properties and to determine $G$ in terms of topological dynamics as a subgroup of the topological full group of a minimal Cantor system.

For us it will be convenient to recode the substitution $\kappa$ on a new alphabet arising by replacing the letters b,c,d by y,x,z. We will call the corresponding substitution $\tau$. Our approach then relies on constructing and studying the subshift $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ associated to $\tau$. This is done in Section 2. There, we give a fairly complete study of basic combinatorial properties of the subshift. In particular, we determine its index (which is four), compute its word complexity and show how the fixed point of the substitution can be generated by an automaton. The crucial main step of the paper , carried out in Section 3, is to relate the subshift $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ to the dynamical system $(X, G)$ (defined in Section 1.2) arising from the action of the group on the space of its Schreier graphs. More specifically, our main result, Theorem 3.5 shows that $\Omega_\tau$ admits an action of $G$ and there exists a continuous surjective map

$$\psi : \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow X$$

intertwining the respective actions of $G$. Hence, $(X, G)$ is a factor of $(\Omega_\tau, G))$. Theorem 3.5 is of interest in itself: for example, it implies $G$ as a subgroup of the topological full group of the subshift defined by the substituton, see Remark 3.6. In our context, it allows us to show that there exists a family of Schroedinger operators $(H_\omega)_{\omega\in\Omega_\tau}$ associated to the subshift such that the operator $M_{\psi(\omega)}(t, u, v, w)$ is unitarily equivalent to $H_\omega$ (Proposition 4.1). In this way the spectral problem for the $M_x(t, u, v, w)$, $x \in X$, is translated into a spectral problem for the $H_\omega$, $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$.

The operators $(H_\omega)$ belong to a class known as Schroedinger operators with aperiodic order. It has been studied intensely over the last thirty years, see e.g. the surveys [7, 8], both for its remarkable properties and for its connection to the so-called quasicrystals (see e.g. [2, 3, 31, 38] for further information on this topic). Combining the combinatorial information on $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ obtained in Section 2 with the general theory of Schroedinger operators with aperiodic order we are able to determine the spectral theory of the family $(H_\omega)$, and thus also the spectral theory of the $M_x(t, u, v, w)$, $x \in X$, by the established unitary equivalence. Our main results show that their spectrum is a Cantor set of Lebesgue measure zero (Theorem 4.2) and that the absence of eigenvalues holds almost surely as well as for some specific points (Theorem 4.6). This implies in particular purely singular continuous spectrum almost surely and for some specific points.

We choose, in this paper, to concentrate on one example, Grigorchuk's group $G$, which is the most prominent example in the theory of groups acting on rooted trees. (In fact, the theory grew out of this example!) Our results extend readily to various families of groups acting by automorphisms of regular rooted trees, provided that the Schreier graphs of the induced action on the boundary of the tree be linear. The most famous such case is the uncountable family $\{G_\omega\}_{\omega\in\{0,1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ constructed in [21], in which the group $G$ corresponds to the sequence $\omega = (012)^\infty$; but there are also other families generalizing Grigorchuk's group $G$, see [42, 6, 40]. To such a family of groups indexed by sequences over a certain finite alphabet, a family of subshifts can be associated, similarly to what is done here in the case of the group $G$, though the subshift may not be defined by a substitution if the group in question is not self-similar. Part of our results extend to all such groups, others require some additional conditions depending on the particular infinite sequence. An interesting new aspect that appears in this more general study is how the corresponding dynamical and spectral properties vary over the family. The details are to follow in the forthcoming paper [25].

**Acknowledgments.** R. G. was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1207669 and by ERC AG COMPASP. The authors acknowledge support of the Swiss National Science Foundation. Part of this research was carried out while D. L. and R. G. were visiting the Department of mathematics of the University of Geneva. The hospitality of the department is gratefully acknowledged. The authors also thank Yaroslav Vorobets for allowing them to use his figures 3 and 4.

## 1. Grigorchuk's group $G$, its Schreier graphs and the associated Laplacians

In this section we introduce the main object of our interest: Grigorchuk's group $G$ and the Laplacians on the associated Schreier graphs.

### 1.1. Grigorchuk's group G.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the *rooted binary tree*. The vertex set of $\mathcal{T}$ is given by $\{0,1\}^*$, i.e. the set of all words over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$. The root of $\mathcal{T}$ is the empty word. There is an edge between $v$ and $w$ whenever $w = vk$ or $v = wk$ holds for some $k \in \{0,1\}$. The words $w \in \{0,1\}^n$ constitute the *n-the level* of the tree. (In the tree, they are at combinatorial distance exactly $n$ from the root.) The set $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of one-sided infinite words can be identified with the boundary $\partial\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of infinite geodesic rays in $\mathcal{T}$ emanating from the root (i.e. infinite paths starting in the root all of whose edges are pairwise different). When equipped with the product topology $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a compact space.

The central object of our study is Grigorchuk's group $G$. It is generated by four automorphisms $a, b, c, d$ of the rooted binary tree defined on the vertices via

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(0w) = 1w,\ a(1w) = 0w;\\
&b(0w) = 0a(w),\ b(1w) = 1c(w);\\
&c(0w) = 0a(w),\ c(1w) = 1d(w);\\
&d(0w) = 0w,\ d(1w) = 1b(w),
\end{aligned}$$

where the vertex $w$ is an arbitrary word over $\{0,1\}$. Grigorchuk's group is a self-similar group and the automorphisms can also be expressed in the self-similar form as

$$a = \epsilon(id, id), \qquad b = e(a, c), \qquad c = e(a, d), \qquad d = e(id, b),$$

where $e$ and $\epsilon$ are, respectively, the trivial and the non-trivial permutations in the group $Sym(2)$ and $id$ is the identity acting on the tree. We refer the interested reader to [39, 27] for more details and information about self-similar groups.

Observe that all the generators are involutions and that $\{1, b, c, d\}$ commute and constitute a group isomorphic to the Klein group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let as also mention that there are many more relations and the group is not finitely presented. For our subsequent discussion it will be important that $G$ acts *transitively* on each level, i.e. for arbitrary words $w, u$ over $\{0, 1\}$ with the same length there exists a $g \in G$ with $gu = w$.

1.2. **The Schreier graphs of $G$ and the dynamical system $(X, G)$.** The action of the group $G$ on the vertices of the rooted binary tree and on its boundary induces on these sets the structure of *Schreier graphs*, with respect to the generating set $\{a, b, c, d\} \subset G$ (see Figure 1). These are labeled graphs and we will freely use standard notation on such graphs as discussed e.g. in [44]. Specifically, for $z \in V(\mathcal{T}) \cup \partial\mathcal{T}$ the Schreier graph $\Gamma_z$ has as its vertices the *orbit* $Gz$ of $z$ under the action of $G$ and there is an edge with label $s \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ and between the vertices $x$ and $y$ if and only if $sx = y$ holds. Note that the edges can indeed be seen as undirected as all generators $\{a, b, c, d\}$ are involutions. The graphs $\Gamma_w$ and $\Gamma_v$ coincide (as non-rooted graphs) whenever $v$ and $w$ are in the same orbit of the action of $G$. For the first three levels of the tree the resulting graphs are shown in Figure 1. As $G$ acts transitively on each level of the tree, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the graph

$$\Gamma_n := \Gamma_{1^n}$$

coincides with $\Gamma_w$ for all $w \in V(\mathcal{T})$ with $|w| = n$. In general, the Schreier graph $\Gamma_n$ has $2^n$ vertices and a linear shape; it has $2^{n-1}$ simple edges, all labeled by $a$, and $2^{n-1} - 1$ cycles of length 2 whose edges are labeled by $b, c, d$. It is regular of degree 4. The Schreier graphs corresponding to the orbits of the action on the boundary are infinite and will be referred to as *orbital Schreier graphs*. The orbital Schreier graphs have either two ends or one end. The graph $\Gamma_{1^\infty}$ corresponding to the orbit of the rightmost infinite ray in $T$ is one-ended, see Figure 2, (and so are then clearly all graphs in the same orbit). All the other orbital Schreier graphs $\Gamma_\xi, \xi \notin G \cdot 1^\infty$, are two-ended. They are all isomorphic as unlabeled graphs [4]. We will view the Schreier graphs as rooted and be interested in them up to isomorphism classes only. Thus, we introduce the map

$$\mathcal{F} : V(\mathcal{T}) \cup \partial\mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_*(\{a, b, c, d\}),\ \mathcal{F}(v) := [(\Gamma_v, v)],$$

where $\mathcal{G}_*(\{a, b, c, d\})$ is the space of isomorphism classes of rooted graphs with labels in $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and $(\Gamma_v, v)$ stands for the graph $\Gamma_v$ with root $v$ and $[\cdot]$ denotes the isomorphism class. As is well-known (see e.g. Section 2.2 of [15]) finite Schreier graphs converge to infinite orbital Schreier graphs, as follows. Here and in the sequel we use the usual topology of local convergence on the space $\mathcal{G}_*(\{a, b, c, c\})$ of isomorphism classes of rooted connected graphs with labels in $\{a, b, c, d\}$, as discussed e.g. in [44].

**Lemma 1.1.** *For every $\xi = \xi_1\xi_2 \dots \xi_n \dots \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the sequence $(\mathcal{F}(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n))$ converges to $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$.*

In [44], Vorobets studied the closure $\overline{\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T})}$ in the space $\mathcal{G}_*(\{a, b, c, c\})$. He showed that the one-ended graphs are exactly the isolated points of this closure $\overline{\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T})}$, and that the other points in $\overline{\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T})}$ are two ended graphs. This suggests to consider the compact subset of the space $\mathcal{G}_*(\{a, b, c, c\})$

$$X := \overline{\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T})} \setminus \{\text{isolated points}\}$$




FIGURE 1. The finite Schreier graphs of the first, second and third level.

which is called *the space of Schreier graphs* of $G$. Then, the group $G$ acts on $X$ by changing the root of the graph and this action is minimal (i.e. each orbit is dense) and uniquely ergodic,




FIGURE 2. The one-ended graph $\Gamma_{1\infty}$.

i.e., admits a unique invariant probability measure which will be denoted as $\nu$. The dynamical system $(X, G)$ will be the focus of attention in later sections.

In [44], the following precise description of $X$ is given. The space $X$ is the disjoint union

$$X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$$

of two sets $X_1$ and $X_2$. Here, $X_2$ consists of all isomorphism classes of two-ended rooted Schreier graphs $\{(\Gamma_\xi, \xi) : \xi \in \partial\mathcal{T} \setminus G \cdot 1^\infty\}$. The set $X_1$ consists of three countable families of isomorphism classes of two-ended graphs. These families are obtained by gluing two copies of the one-ended graph $\Gamma_\xi, \xi \in G \cdot 1^\infty$, at the root in three possible ways corresponding to choosing a pair $(b, c)$, $(b, d)$ or $(c, d)$, and then choosing an arbitrary vertex of the arising graph as the root. One of these three possibilities is shown in Figure 3. There, the chosen pair is $(c, d)$ and to avoid confusion with other edges with the same labels, the labels at the gluing point are denoted with a prime (and the root is chosen arbitrarily). These new graphs are again Schreier graphs of $G$.




FIGURE 3. Connecting two copies of $\Gamma_{1\infty}$

Then, $(\partial\mathcal{T}, G)$ is a factor of $(X, G)$, viz there exists a surjective continuous map

$$\phi : X \longrightarrow \partial\mathcal{T}$$

intertwining the respective actions of $G$. Indeed, the decomposition of $X$ into isomorphism classes of the $(\Gamma_\xi, \xi)$ and the three families mentioned above gives rise to this map and $\phi : X_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \setminus G \cdot 1^\infty$ is one-to-one and onto with inverse given by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\phi : X_1 \longrightarrow G \cdot 1^\infty$ is three-to-one and onto.

1.3. **Laplacians associated to the Schreier graphs of $G$.** In this section we introduce the operators whose spectral theory is our main concern in this paper.

Let $\Gamma$ be a graph with vertex set $V$ and edges labeled by $a, b, c, d$. Replacing the labels by $t, u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain a weighted graph and this graph gives rise to the Laplacian $M_\Gamma(t, u, v, w)$. Specifically, the Laplacian $M_\Gamma(t, u, v, w)$ is the selfadjoint operator

$$M_\Gamma(t, u, v, w) : \ell^2(V) \longrightarrow \ell^2(V)$$

acting via

$$(M_\Gamma(t,u,v,w)\varphi)(p) = \sum_{q \overset{e}{\sim} p} w(e) f(q).$$

Here, the sum is over all edges $e$ emanating from $p \in V$ and the weight $w(e)$ of the edge $e$ is given by $t, u, v, w$ depending on whether its label is $a, b, c, d$ respectively. In the case $\Gamma = \Gamma_\xi$ with a $\xi \in \partial\mathcal{T}$ we write $M_\xi(t,u,v,w)$ instead of $M_{\Gamma_\xi}(t,u,v,w)$. For $x \in X$ (which is a isomorphism class of graphs) we chose a representative $\widehat{x}$ and then write - with a slight abuse of language - $M_x(t,u,v,w)$ to denote the operator $M_{\widehat{x}}(t,u,v,w)$.

## 2. The substitution $\tau$, its subshift $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ and the associated finite words $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$

In this section we study the two-sided subshift induced by a particular substitution. The one-sided subshift induced by this substitution had already been studied by Vorobets [43], and some of our results can be seen as two-sided counterparts to his. His investigation relies on a connection to Toeplitz sequences. Here, we develop a new approach based on what we call the $n$-decomposition and the $n$-partition of the elements of the subshift. This is close in spirit to the partition-based approach to Sturmian dynamical systems which was developed in [9] and then applied in the spectral theory (see the survey [7]) and in some combinatorial questions [10, 11]. For general background on subshifts we refer to e.g. the textbook [35].

Whenever $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set, referred to as *alphabet*, we will consider the set $\mathcal{A}^*$ of finite words (including the empty word) as well as the set $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of two-sided infinite words over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ and the set $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of one-sided infinite words over $\mathcal{A}$. If $v, w$ are finite words and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies $\omega_1 \dots \omega_{|v|} = v$ and $\omega_{-|w|+1} \dots \omega_0 = w$ we write

$$\omega = \dots w|v \dots$$

and say that $|$ *denotes the position of the origin.* We equip $\mathcal{A}$ with the discrete topology and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the product topology. A pair $(\Omega, T)$ is called a *subshift* over $\mathcal{A}$ if $\Omega$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is invariant under the *shift transformation*

$$T : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \;\; (T\omega)(n) := \omega(n+1).$$

Whenever $\omega$ is a word over $\mathcal{A}$ (finite or infinite, indexed by $\mathbb{N}$ or by $\mathbb{Z}$) we define

$$\mathrm{Sub}(\omega) := \text{Finite subwords of } \omega.$$

By convention, the set of finite subwords includes the empty word. Every subshift $(\Omega, T)$ comes naturally with the set $\mathrm{Sub}(\Omega)$ of associated finite words given by

$$\mathrm{Sub}(\Omega) := \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathrm{Sub}(\omega).$$

A word $v \in \mathrm{Sub}(\Omega)$ is said to *occur with bounded gaps* if there exists an $L_v > 0$ such that every $w \in \mathrm{Sub}(\Omega)$ with $|w| \geq L_v$ contains a copy of $v$. As is well known (and not hard to see) $(\Omega, T)$ is minimal if and only if every $v \in \mathrm{Sub}(\Omega)$ occurs with bounded gaps. We will be concerned with a strengthening of the bounded gaps condition: A subshift $(\Omega, T)$ is called *linearly repetitive* (LR), if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that every word $v \in \mathrm{Sub}(\Omega)$ occurs in every word $w \in \mathrm{Sub}(\Omega)$ of length at least $C|v|$. This notion has been discussed under various names in various contexts [19, 32, 41]. A linearly repetitive subshift is uniquely ergodic and minimal [17] and even allows for a uniform subadditive ergodic theorem [34].

2.1. **Basic features of the substitution $\tau$.** Consider the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{a, x, y, z\}$ and let $\tau$ be the substitution mapping $a \mapsto axa$, $x \mapsto y$, $y \mapsto z$, $z \mapsto x$. Let $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ be the associated set of finite words given by

$$\mathrm{Sub}_\tau = \bigcup_{s\in\mathcal{A}, n\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}} \mathrm{Sub}(\tau^n(s)).$$

Then, the following three properties obviously hold:

- The letter $a$ is a prefix of $\tau^n(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- The lengths $|\tau^n(a)|$ converge to $\infty$ for $n \to \infty$.
- Every letter of $\mathcal{A}$ occurs in $\tau^n(a)$ for some $n$.

By the first two properties $\tau^n(a)$ is a prefix of $\tau^{n+1}(a)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Thus, there exists a unique one-sided infinite word $\eta$ such that $\tau^n(a)$ is a prefix of $\eta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. This $\eta$ is a fixed point of $\tau$ i.e. $\tau(\eta) = \eta$. We will refer to it as *the fixed point of the substitution* $\tau$. By the third property we have

$$\mathrm{Sub}_\tau = \mathrm{Sub}(\eta).$$

We can now associate to $\tau$ the subshift

$$\Omega_\tau := \{\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathrm{Sub}(\omega) \subset \mathrm{Sub}_\tau\}.$$

It can be easily seen that every other letter of $\eta$ is an $a$. In particular, $a$ occurs in $\eta$ with bounded gaps. This implies that every word of $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ occurs with bounded gaps (as the word is a subword of $\tau^n(a)$ and $\eta$ is a fixed point of $\tau$). For this reason $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ is minimal and $\mathrm{Sub}(\omega) = \mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$. We can then apply Theorem 1 of [12] to obtain the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The subshift $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ is linearly repetitive.*

Our further considerations will be based on a more careful study of the $\tau^n(a)$. We set

$$p^{(0)} := a \text{ and } p^{(n)} := \tau^n(a) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A direct calculation gives $p^{(n+1)} = \tau^{n+1}(a) = \tau^n(axa) = \tau^n(a)\tau^n(x)\tau^n(a)$, i.e.

$$(RF) \qquad p^{(n+1)} = p^{(n)}\tau^n(x)p^{(n)} \text{ with } \tau^n(x) = \begin{cases} x & : \quad n = 3k, k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\} \\ y & : \quad n = 3k+1, k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\} \\ z & : \quad n = 3k+2, k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\} \end{cases},$$

We will refer to $(RF)$ as the *recursion formula for the* $p^{(n)}$.

We will now define three special elements $\omega^{(x)}, \omega^{(y)}, \omega^{(z)} \in \Omega_\tau$ closely related to $\eta$, that will be useful in our subsequent analysis of the subshift.

**Lemma 2.2** (The special words $\omega^{(x)}, \omega^{(y)}, \omega^{(z)}$)**.** *For every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and every letter $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ the word $p^{(n)}sp^{(n)}$ occurs in $\eta$. In particular, for all $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ there exists a unique element $\omega^{(s)} \in \Omega_\tau$ such that*

$$\omega^{(s)} = ...p^{(n)}s|p^{(n)}...$$

*holds for all natural numbers $n$, where the $|$ denotes the position of the origin.*

*Proof.* Note that $\tau^3(a)$ contains $axa$, $aya$ and $aza$. As $\eta$ is a fixed point of $\tau$ and $\tau^n$ is injective on $\{x, y, z\}$ the first statement follows. By the recursion formula (RF) each $p^{(n+1)}$ starts and ends with $p^{(n)}$. Given this, the second statement follows from the first statement. □

The sequences $\omega^{(x)}, \omega^{(y)}, \omega^{(z)}$ are different but all agree on $\mathbb{N}$. Hence $\Omega_\tau$ is not periodic and this persist even after renaming the letters (provided not all are given the same name).

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a finite set and $C : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a map such that $C(x) = C(y) = C(z)$ does not hold. Then, the subshift $\Omega_\tau^{(C)} := \{C \circ \omega : \omega \in \Omega_\tau\}$ is not periodic and linearly repetitive.*

*Proof.* Obviously, the map $\Omega_\tau \longrightarrow \Omega_\tau^{(C)}, \omega \mapsto C \circ \omega$, is continuous and onto and preserves linear repetitivity. In particular, $(\Omega_\tau^{(C)}, T)$ is a minimal subshift. Moreover, the two-sided infinite words $C\omega^{(x)}, C\omega^{(y)}, C\omega^{(z)}$ all agree on $\mathbb{N}$ but are not all equal (due to the assumption on $C$). This can easily be seen to imply that the subshift $\Omega_C$ is not periodic. □

Recall that a non-empty word $w = w_1 \dots w_l \in \mathcal{A}^*$ with $w_j \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a *palindrome* if $w = w_l \dots w_1$. The recursion formula and a simple induction imply that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the word $p^{(n)}$ is a palindrome of length $2^{n+1}-1$ and starts and ends with $p^{(k)}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $k \leq n$. As every other letter of $\eta$ is an $a$ and $\eta$ is a fixed point of $\tau$ we immediately infer that there exists a sequence $(r_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\{x, y, z\}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the word $\eta$ has a (unique) decomposition as

$$\eta = p^{(n)}\tau^n(r_1)p^{(n)}\tau^n(r_2)....$$

This way of writing $\eta$ will be called the *$n$-decomposition of $\eta$* and the sequence $(r_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ the *derived sequence of $\eta$*. Note that the combinatorial properties of the sequence $(\tau^n(r_j))_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ are exactly the same as the combinatorial properties of the sequence $(r_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ as $\tau^n$ is injective on $\{x, y, z\}$. Among these combinatorial properties we note the following.

**Proposition 2.4.** *In the derived sequence $(r_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ the letters $y$ and $z$ always occur isolated preceded and followed by an $x$. The letter $x$ always occurs either isolated (i.e. preceded and followed by elements of $\{y, z\}$) or in the form $xxx$. The analogue statements hold for every natural number $n$ for the sequence $(\tau^n(r_j))_j$ (with $x, y, z$ replaced by $\tau^n(x), \tau^n(y)$ and $\tau^n(z)$).*

*Proof.* As $\eta$ is a fixed point, we have

$$\eta = \tau(a)\tau(r_1)\tau(a)\tau(r_2)... = p_1\tau(r_1)p_1\tau(r_2)...$$

with $p_1 = \tau(a) = axa$ and $\tau(r_j) \in \{x, y, z\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Comparing with the definition of $r$ we find that every other letter of $r$ must be an $x$. This shows the claim on $y$ and $z$. It remains to show the statement on the occurrences of $x$. Assume that there is a block of the form $xxxx$ occurring in $r$. Then, $axaxaxaxal$ must occur in $\eta$ with $l \neq x$. In the 1-decomposition of $\eta$ this yields $p_1xp_1xp_1l$. This gives a contradiction when we consider the 2-decomposition as $p_2 \neq p_1xp_1$. The last statement follows as $\tau^n$ is injective on $\{x, y, z\}$. □

Our next aim is to provide an analogue of the $n$-decomposition of $\eta$ for each $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$. We are thus looking for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ for a decomposition of the form

$$\omega = ...p^{(n)}s_0p^{(n)}s_1p^{(n)}s_2...$$

with $s_k \in \{x, y, z\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the origin $\omega_0$ belonging to $s_0p^{(n)}$. Such a decomposition will be referred to as the *$n$-decomposition* of $\omega$.

A short moment's thought reveals that if such a decomposition exists at all, then it is uniquely determined by the position of any of the $s_j$'s in $\omega$. Moreover, the positions of the $s_j$'s are given by $q + 2^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ with $q \in \{0, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}$. Thus, the positions are given by an element of $\mathbb{Z}/2^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$. This suggests the following definition.

**Definition 2.5** ($n$-partition)**.** For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ we call an element $P \in \mathbb{Z}/2^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ an *$n$-partition* of $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ if for every $q \in P$ the following two properties hold:

- $\omega_q \in \{x, y, z\}$;
- $\omega_{q+1} \dots \omega_{q+2^{n+1}-1} = p^{(n)}$.

Clearly, for $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$, existence (uniqueness) of an $n$-partition is equivalent to existence (uniqueness) of an $n$-decomposition. In this sense these two concepts are equivalent. It is not apparent that such an $n$-partition (or an $n$-decomposition) exists at all. Here is our corresponding result.

**Theorem 2.6** (Existence and Uniqueness of $n$-partitions)**.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be given. Then every $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ admits a unique $n$-partition $P^{(n)}(\omega)$ and the map*

$$P^{(n)} : \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^{n+1}\mathbb{Z},\ \omega \mapsto P^{(n)}(\omega),$$

*is continuous and equivariant (i.e. $P^{(n)}(T\omega) = P^{(n)}(\omega) + 1$).*

*Proof. Existence of $P^{(n)}(\omega)$.* Recall that $\omega^{(x)} \in \Omega_\tau$ is the unique word with $\omega^{(x)} = ...p^{(n)}x|p^{(n)}...$, where $|$ denotes the position of the origin. Now, obviously, $\omega^{(x)}$ admits an $n$-partition (by its very definition and $(RF)$). Moreover, the subshift is minimal. Hence, every $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ can be approximated by a sequence of translates of $\omega^{(x)}$. These all carry natural $n$-partitions coming from the $n$-partition of $\omega^{(x)}$. As the values of these $n$-partitions all lie within the finite set $\mathbb{Z}/2^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ we can assume (after restricting attention to a subsequence) without loss of generality that these values are all equal. Now existence of an $n$-partition for $\omega$ is clear.

*Uniqueness.* As discussed at the beginning of this section the concepts of $n$-decomposition and $n$-partition are equivalent in the sense that existence (uniqueness) of an $n$-partition implies existence (uniqueness) of an $n$-decomposition and vice versa. This will be used in order to obtain uniqueness. Our proof proceeds by induction. The case $n = 0$ is clear. (In this case $p^{(0)} = a$.) Let us now show how to proceed from $n$ to $n + 1$. Consider an $(n + 1)$-decomposition of $\omega$. Such a decomposition exists by the already shown part. Chose $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ with $p^{(n+1)} = p^{(n)}sp^{(n)}$. Then, out of the $n + 1$ decomposition of $\omega$ we obtain an $n$-decomposition by just replacing $p^{(n+1)}$ by $p^{(n)}sp^{(n)}$ in the corresponding decomposition of $\omega$. This $n$-decomposition is unique by our induction assumption. Now, it is not hard to see that non-uniqueness of the $(n + 1)$-decomposition can only occur if $\omega$ is periodic. However, as discussed above in Proposition 2.3 there is no periodic sequence in $\Omega$.

*Continuity.* This is a direct consequence of uniqueness. Let $\omega^{(k)}$ be a sequence converging to $\omega$ and let $P_k$ and $P$ be the respective $n$-partitions. We have to show $P_k \to P$. As the space $\mathbb{Z}/2^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ is finite (hence compact), it suffices to show that any converging subsequence of $(P_k)$ converges to $P$. Now, it is clear that whenever a subsequence of $(P_k)$ converges to some $P'$ then $P'$ is an $n$-partition of $\omega$. By uniqueness we infer $P' = P$ and this gives the desired statement.

*Equivariance.* This is a direct consequence of the existence and uniqueness statements. □

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ be arbitrary and consider the $n$-decomposition $\omega = ...p^{(n)}s_0p^{(n)}s_1p^{(n)}s_2....$ Then, the letters $\tau^n(y), \tau^n(z)$ occur isolated in the sequence $(s_j)$ and the letter $\tau^n(x)$ is either isolated or arises in the form $\tau^n(x)\tau^n(x)\tau^n(x)$.*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.4 and the previous theorem. □

2.2. **Palindromes and reflection symmetry in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$.** In this section we study palindromes in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ and a corresponding reflection symmetry.

For a finite word $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ we define the reflected word $w^R$ by $w^R = w_n \dots w_1$. There are two different ways to extend this operation to two sided infinite words. One way is to associate to a two-sided infinite sequence $\omega$ the sequence $\omega^R$ defined by $\omega^R(n) := \omega(-n)$. Thus, the operation $R$ on the two sided infinite words is just the reflection at the origin. The other way, which in some sense will be even more relevant for us, is to associate to a two sided infinite sequence $\omega$ the sequence $\widetilde{\omega}$ given by $\widetilde{\omega}(n) := \omega(1-n)$. Thus, for $\omega = ...\omega_{-1}\omega_0|\omega_1\omega_2...$ we have $\widetilde{\omega} = ...\omega_2\omega_1|\omega_0\omega_{-1}...$ In this sense, $\widetilde{\ }$ is just the reflection at $|$. Of course, both reflections are related. In fact, we have $\widetilde{\omega} = T^{-1}\omega^R$. We now turn to studying how $\Omega_\tau$ is compatible with the reflection operations $R$ and $\widetilde{\ }$.

**Proposition 2.8.** *For every $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ the element $\widetilde{\omega}$ also belongs to $\Omega_\tau$, and the map*

$$\Omega_\tau \longrightarrow \Omega_\tau,\ \omega \mapsto \widetilde{\omega},$$

*is a homeomorphism without fixed point.*

*Proof.* As all $p^{(n)}$'s are palindromes, the set $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ is invariant under $R$, i.e. $R(\mathrm{Sub}_\tau) = \mathrm{Sub}_\tau$. This easily gives the first statement. As exactly one of the letters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_1$ is $a$, the map $\widetilde{\ }$ cannot have a fixed point. □

By the previous proposition, the map $\widetilde{\ }$ does not have fixed points on $\Omega_\tau$. However, there are words which are fixed points of $R$. We say that $\omega$ is *symmetric around* $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\omega_{p+k} = \omega_{p-k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. if $T^p\omega$ is a fixed point of $R$). Then, clearly each $\omega^{(s)}$, $s \in \{x, y, z\}$, is symmetric around 0. In fact, the converse is valid as well as shown in the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ be symmetric around $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists an $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ with $\omega = T^p\omega^{(s)}$.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume $p = 0$. Consider the word $\omega_{-1}\omega_0\omega_1$ and note that $\omega_{-1} = \omega_1$ must hold by symmetry. Assume that $\omega_0 = a$ holds. As $a's$ occur isolated, we infer that $\omega_{-1} = \omega_1$ cannot be $a$. After deletion of the $a'$, the letters $y, z$ occur isolated and $x$ occurs either isolated or with power 3 by Corollary 2.7. Therefore we infer a contradiction to $\omega_0 = a$. Set $s := \omega_0 \in \{x, y, z\}$.

We now consider the $n$-decomposition of $\omega$. We say that the $n$-decomposition has a *break point* at the origin if the $n$ decomposition around the origin looks like $p^{(n)}s|p^{(n)}$ with $|$ denoting the position of origin. By what we have shown above the $n$-decomposition has a break point at the origin for $n = 0$. Corollary 2.7 implies that the occurrences of the $x, y, z$ in the $n$-decomposition of $\omega$ are subject to analogous restrictions as the occurrences of $x, y, z$ in the derived sequence of $\eta$. Thus, we can repeat the argument given above for $n = 0$ for arbitrary $n$. An easy induction then shows that $\omega$ must have a break point at the origin for any $n$. □

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let $\omega \in \Omega$ be given. Then, the orbits $\{T^n\omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{T^n\widetilde{\omega} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are disjoint unless $\omega = T^p\omega^{(s)}$ for some $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

*Proof.* It is not hard to see that the two orbits in question can only intersect if $\omega$ is symmetric. Thus, the statement of the corollary follows from the previous theorem. □

2.3. **Powers in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$.** In this section study powers in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ and determine the index (= supremum over all powers).

Our first result gives the existence of three-blocks followed by a (long) prefix of the same block. Thus, it 'almost' gives the existence of a four-block.

**Lemma 2.11** (Almost four-blocks in $\omega^{(s)}$)**.** *Let $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ be given and $\omega^{(s)}$ the unique word with $\omega^{(s)} = ...p^{(n)}s|p^{(n)}...$ for all $n$ (where $|$ denotes the position of the origin). Then,*

$$\omega^{(s)} = ...p^{(3n+k)}sp^{(3n+k)}s|p^{(3n+k)}sp^{(3n+k)}...$$

*for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where $k = 0$ for $s = x$, $k = 1$ for $s = y$ and $k = 2$ for $s = z$.*

*Proof.* From the definition of $\omega^{(s)}$ we have $\omega^{(s)} = ...p^{(3n+3)}s|p^{(3n+3)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, the lemma follows after we apply the recursion formula $(RF)$ from page 8 the corresponding number of times. □

In fact, similar structure occur in $\lambda$-almost every element of $\Omega_\tau$, where $\lambda$ is the unique $T$-invariant probability measure on $\Omega_\tau$.

**Lemma 2.12** (Almost four-blocks in almost every $\omega$)**.** *For $\lambda$-almost every $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ there exist sequences of words $(w_n)$, $(v_n)$ with $v_n$ prefix of $w_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|w_n| \to \infty$ and $\frac{|v_n|}{|w_n|} \to 1$ and $\omega = ...w_nw_n|w_nv...$*

*Proof.* From the previous lemma (with $k = n = 0$) we infer that the word $axaxaxa$ belongs to $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ i.e. the word $u = w^3v$ with $w = ax$ and $v = a$ occurs in $\eta$. Thus, all words of the form $\tau^n(w^3v)$ will occur in $\eta$ as well. Clearly, $|\tau^n(w)| = |\tau^n(a)| + |\tau^n(x)| = |\tau^n(v)| + 1$ and $|\tau^n(w)| \to \infty$ and $\frac{|\tau^n(v)|}{|w|} \to 1$ follows. Now, the desired statements follows from Lemma 4.2 in [12]. The Lemma 4.2 of [12] only deals with almost sure existence of $w_n$ with the desired properties. However, close inspection of the proof shows existence of $v_n$ as well. □

We are now heading towards showing absence of fourth powers in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$.

**Proposition 2.13.** *Consider a natural number $n$ and $s \in \{x, y, z\}$. If $p^{(n)}sp^{(n)}$ occurs in $\eta$ at the position $l$ (i.e. $\eta_l\eta_{l+1}\dots\eta_{l+|p^{(n)}sp^{(n)}|-1} = p^{(n)}sp^{(n)}$ holds), then $l$ is of the form $1 + k2^{n+1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. This means that if $p^{(n)}sp^{(n)}$ occurs somewhere in $\eta$ then both of its words $p^{(n)}$ actually agree with blocks $p^{(n)}$ appearing in the $n$-decomposition $\eta = p^{(n)}r_1^{(n)}p^{(n)}r_2^{(n)}p^{(n)}....$*

*Proof.* This follows by induction on $n$. The case $n = 0$ is clear. Let us assume that the statement holds for $n$. Consider an occurrence of

$$w = p^{(n+1)}sp^{(n+1)} = p^{(n)}tp^{(n)}sp^{(n)}tp^{(n)}$$

with suitable letters $s$ and $t$ in $\{x, y, z\}$. By assumption the $p^{(n)}$ are well aligned with the $n$-decomposition.

*Case 1: $s \neq t$.* By $s \neq t$, both $p^{(n)}tp^{(n)}$ in the above formula for $w$ will become a $p^{(n+1)}$ in the $(n + 1)$-decomposition. This readily gives the statement.

*Case 2: $s = t$.* By Corollary 2.7, there can be no more than three occurrences of $s = t$ in a row. Thus, again the first and the last $p^{(n)}tp^{(n)}$ will become a $p^{(n+1)}$ in the $(n + 1)$-decomposition and the desired statement follows. □

If $w$ is a finite word in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ and $v$ is a prefix of $w$ and $N$ is a natural number we define the *index of the word $w$ in $w^N v$* by $N + \frac{|v|}{|w|}$ and denote it by $Ind(w, w^N v)$. We then define the *index of the word $w$* by

$$Ind(w) := \max\{Ind(w, w^N v) : v \text{ prefix of } w, N \in \mathbb{N}, w^N v \in \mathrm{Sub}_\tau\}.$$

As our subshift is minimal and aperiodic the index of every word can easily be seen to be finite. We define the *index of the subshift* (also known as *critical exponent*) as

$$Ind(\Omega_\tau) = \sup\{Ind(w) : w \in \mathrm{Sub}_\tau\}.$$

**Theorem 2.14** (Index of $\Omega_\tau$)**.** *The sequence $\eta$ does not contain a fourth power i.e. for every $w \in Sub_\tau$ the inequality $Ind(w) < 4$ holds. In particular, we have $4 = Ind(\Omega_\tau)$.*

*Proof.* We show that $\eta$ does not contain a fourth power. The statement on the index is then a direct consequence from Lemma 2.12.

We consider the index of a word $w \in \mathrm{Sub}_\tau$. We first consider the case $|w| \leq 3$. If $|w| = 1$ or $|w| = 3$ then $w$ either starts and ends with an $a$ or starts and ends with a letter which is not $a$. In both cases $ww$ can not occur as exactly every other letter of $\eta$ is an $a$. If $w = 2$ we have $w \in \{ax, ay, az, xa, ya, za\}$ and from Corollary 2.7 we infer that $wwww$ can not occur. We now consider the case $|p^{(n)}| + 1 = 2^{n+1} \leq |w| \leq |p^{(n+1)}|$ for some $n \geq 1$. Assume that $www$ occurs in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$.

*Claim.* The length of $w$ is given by $|w| = |p^{(n)}| + 1 = 2^{n+1}$.

*Proof of Claim.* Consider the $n$-decomposition of $\eta$ at an occurrence of $www$. By 'chopping off' a suffix of $www$ of length less than $|p^{(n)}| < |w|$ we infer that there exists a word $v$ of the same length as $w$ such that $vv$ occurs in $\eta$ and starts exactly at the beginning of a $p^{(n)}$ of the $n$-decomposition of $\eta$ i.e. such that $vv$ is a prefix of a part of the $n$-decomposition given by

$$p^{(n)} r_k^{(n)} p^{(n)} r_{k+1}^{(n)} p^{(n)} r_{k+2}^{(n)} p^{(n)}.$$

Consider the $n-1$ decomposition of this word. It is given by

$$p^{(n-1)} s p^{(n-1)} r_k^{(n)} p^{(n-1)} s p^{(n-1)} r_{k+1}^{(n)} p^{(n-1)} s p^{(n-1)} r_{k+2}^{(n)} p^{(n-1)} s p^{(n-1)}$$

with $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ suitable. From Proposition 2.13 (applied with $p^{(n)}$ instead of $p^{(n+1)}$) we then infer that the words $v$ must be well-aligned with the occurrences of the $p^{(n-1)}$. This gives $|v| = |p^{(n)}| + 1$ or $|v| = |p^{(n)}| + 1 + |p^{(n-1)}|$. The second case can easily be seen to yield $s = r_k = r_{k+1} = r_{k+2}$ and this contradicts Proposition 2.7. This proves the claim.

Assume now that $w$ with $|p^{(n)}| + 1 = 2^{n+1} \leq |w| \leq |p^{(n+1)}|$ is such that $wwww$ occurs in $\eta$. By the claim, we then have $|w| = |p^{(n)}| + 1$. Considering the $n$-partition of $\eta$ we infer existence of a fourth power of a single letter in the sequence $r^{(n)}$ contradicting Corollary 2.7. □

2.4. **The word complexity of $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$.** We define the *word complexity* of $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ as

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \quad \mathcal{C}(L) = \text{number of elements of } \mathrm{Sub}_\tau \text{ of length } L.$$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Any word $w \in Sub_\tau$ with $|w| \leq |p^{(n)}| = 2^{n+1} - 1$ (for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) appears in $p^{(n+3)}$.*

*Proof.* Recall that $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau = \mathrm{Sub}(\eta)$. We know already that there exist $r_j^{(n)} \in \{x, y, z\}$, with $\eta = p^{(n)} r_1^{(n)} p^{(n)} r_2^{(n)} p^{(n)} ...$ Indeed, this is just what was termed the $n$-decomposition of $\eta$ earlier on. Thus, any word of length $L \leq |p^{(n)}|$ is a factor of one of the three words $p^{(n)}xp^{(n)}, p^{(n)}yp^{(n)}, p^{(n)}zp^{(n)}$. These three words can easily be seen to appear in $p^{(n+3)}$. □

We can use the previous proposition to obtain the values of $\mathcal{C}(L)$ for small $L$ by inspection of $p^{(k)}$ for suitable small $k$. This gives

$$\mathcal{C}(1) = 4, \mathcal{C}(2) = 6, \mathcal{C}(3) = 8, \mathcal{C}(4) = 10.$$

From the previous proposition we also directly obtain an upper bound for the word complexity.

**Lemma 2.16** (Upper bound)**.** *Let $L = |p^{(n)}| = 2^{n+1} - 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{C}(L) \leq 2L + \frac{L+1}{2} = 2^{n+2} + 2^n - 2.$$

*Proof.* By the previous proposition, it suffices to give an upper bound for the number of factors of length $L$ in $p^{(n+3)}$. In order to be specific we assume that $n$ is divisible by three. (The other cases can be treated analogously.) Thus, we obtain

$$p^{(n+3)} = p^{(n)}xp^{(n)}yp^{(n)}xp^{(n)}zp^{(n)}xp^{(n)}yp^{(n)}xp^{(n)}.$$

Here, the $z$ in the 'middle' is at position $|p^{(n+2)}| + 1$. We will count the words of length $L$ appearing in $p^{(n+3)}$ starting from the left and dismissing words we obviously have already encountered. This will give us the desired upper bound. Here are the details. We note that all factors of length $L$ or less starting after the $z$ at the position $|p^{(n+2)}|+1$ must have already appeared to the left of this position. Thus, we can focus on factors appearing in the first

$$|p^{(n+2)}| + 1 = 4L + 4$$

positions. In this context we see that following:

- The factor of length $L$ appearing at position $p^{(n)} + 2$ is $p^{(n)}$ and has already appeared at the first position.
- The factors of length $L$ at the positions $P \in \left[|p^{(n+1)}| + 2, |p^{(n+1)}| + |p^{(n)}| + 3\right]$ are factors of $p^{(n)}xp^{(n)}$, which have already occurred in the prefix $p^{(n)}xp^{(n)}$ of $p^{(n+3)}$.
- There is the word $v = p^{(n)}zp^{(n)}$ appearing at position $|p^{(n+2)}| + 1$ of $p^{(n+3)}$. We can decompose this as

  $$v = p^{(n-1)}zp^{(n-1)}zp^{(n-1)}zp^{(n-1)}.$$

  Then, $v$ starts with three copies of $p^{(n-1)}z$. Clearly, the factors of length $L$ starting in the second copy of $p^{(n-1)}z$ have already appeared starring in the first copy of $p^{(n-1)}z$.

Taking these double occurrences into account we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}(L) \leq 4L + 4 - \Big(1 + (|p^{(n)}| + 2) + (|p^{(n-1)}| + 1)\Big) = 2L + \frac{L+1}{2}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

We now complement this by a lower bound on the complexity difference. We will need some more notation: The word $ws$ with $s \in \{a, x, y, z\}$ and $ws \in \mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ will be called an *extension* of $w \in \mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ and we will say that $w$ can be *extended by* $s$. A word $w \in \mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ is called *right special* if the set of its extensions has more than one element. *Left special* words are defined in a similar way.

**Lemma 2.17** (Lower bound)**.** *(a) The inequality* $\mathcal{C}(L+1)-\mathcal{C}(L)\geq 2$ *holds for all* $L\in\mathbb{N}$.

*(b) For* $L\in\mathbb{N}$ *with* $2^n\leq L\leq 2^n+2^{n-1}-1$ *(for some* $n\geq 2$*)* $\mathcal{C}(L+1)-\mathcal{C}(L)\geq 3$ *holds.*

*Proof.* (a) It suffices to show that there exists a word $w$ of length $L$ such that $wx, wy, wz$ all appear in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$. Consider an arbitrary $k\in\mathbb{N}$ with $L\leq p^{(k)}$. Now, it is not hard to see that $p^{(k)}x, p^{(k)}y, p^{(k)}z$ all appear in $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$. Now, $w$ can be chosen as the suffix of $p^{(k)}$ of length $L$.

(b) By assumption we have

$$2^n=|p^{(n-1)}|+1\leq L\leq |p^{(n-1)}|+1+|p^{(n-2)}|=2^n+2^{n-1}-1.$$

In order to be specific let us assume that $n$ is such that $p^{(n)}=p^{(n-1)}xp^{(n-1)}$ holds. As already noted in the proof of (a) any suffix of $p^{(n)}$ has three different extensions. This is in particular true for the suffix of $p^{(n)}$ of length $L$. Note that this suffix has $xp^{(n-1)}$ as a suffix (due to $L\geq |p^{(n-1)}|+1$).

We are going to find another word with two different extensions: Considering $p^{(n+3)}$ it is not hard to see that $w=p^{(n)}zp^{(n)}y$ belongs to $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$. Now, a short calculation gives

$$w=p^{(n-1)}xp^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-2)}xp^{(n-1)}y.$$

Thus,

$$p^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-2)}z=p^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-1)}z$$

belongs to $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$. This shows that every suffix of $p^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-1)}$ can be extended by $z$. On the other hand, the above formula also gives that $p^{(n-1)}zp^{(n-1)}x$ occurs in $w$. Thus, every suffix of $p^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-1)}$ can also be extended by $x$. Thus, every suffix has two extensions. Now taking into account that the suffixes of length $L$ of $p^{(n-2)}zp^{(n-1)}$ have $zp^{(n-1)}$ as a suffix (which is different from $xp^{(n-1)}$) we see that these extensions are different from the previously encountered extensions. □

Clearly, the previous lemma implies a lower bounds on the complexity function via

$$\mathcal{C}(L)\geq \mathcal{C}(L_0)+\sum_{k=L_0}^{L-1}(\mathcal{C}(k+1)-\mathcal{C}(k))$$

for arbitrary $L_0\leq L$ in $\mathbb{N}$. We are going to use this first for special $L$ and $L_0$ next.

**Corollary 2.18.** *For* $L$ *with* $L=|p^{(n)}|=2^{n+1}-1$ *with* $n\geq 2$*, we have* $\mathcal{C}(L)=2^{n+2}+2^n-2$.

*Proof.* We decompose the interval $[4,2^{n+1}-1]$ according to powers of 2 as

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-2}\Big([2^{k+1},2^{k+1}+2^k-1]\cup[2^{k+1}+2^k-1,2^{k+2}]\Big)\cup([2^n,2^n+2^{n-1}-1]\cup[2^n+2^{n-1},2^{n+1}-1]).$$

We then apply (a) and (b) of the previous lemma to obtain

$$\mathcal{C}(L)\geq \mathcal{C}(4)-2+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(3\cdot 2^k+2\cdot 2^k)=2^{n+2}+2^n-2.$$

Here, in the first step the $-2$ comes about as our sum treats the the full interval $[4,2^{n+1}]$, whereas we actually only need the interval $[4,2^{n+1}-1]$. In the last step we use the already established $\mathcal{C}(4)=10$. Now, comparing this lower bound with the upper bound of Lemma 2.16, we see that both bounds agree and this gives the desired statement. □

**Theorem 2.19** (Complexity Theorem)**.** *(a) For any $n \geq 2$ and $L = 2^n + k$ with $0 \leq k < 2^n$ we have*

$$\mathcal{C}(L+1) - \mathcal{C}(L) = \begin{cases} 3 : 0 \leq k < 2^{n-1} \\ 2 : 2^{n-1} \leq k < 2^n \end{cases}$$

*(b) The complexity function $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies $\mathcal{C}(1) = 4, \mathcal{C}(2) = 6, \mathcal{C}(3) = 8$ and then for any $n \geq 2$ and $L = 2^n + k$ with $0 \leq k < 2^n$*

$$\mathcal{C}(L) = \begin{cases} 2^{n+1} + 2^{n-1} + 3k : 0 \leq k < 2^{n-1} \\ 2^{n+1} + 2^n + 2k : 2^{n-1} \leq k < 2^n \end{cases}$$

*(c) Consider $n \geq 2$ and $L = 2^n + k$ with $0 \leq k < 2^n$.*

- *If $0 \leq k < 2^{n-1}$, then there exist exactly two right special words of length $L$. These are given by the suffix of $p^{(n)}$ of length $L$ (which can be extended by $x, y, z$) and the suffix of $p^{(n-2)}\tau^{n-2}(x)p^{(n-1)}$ of length $L$ (which can be extended by $\tau^{n-2}(x)$ and by $\tau^{n-1}(x)$).*
- *If $2^{n-1} \leq k < 2^{n-1}$, then there exists exactly one right special words of length $L$. This is given by the suffix of $p^{(n)}$ of length $L$ (which can be extended by $x, y, z$).*

*Proof.* (a) It suffices to show that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L = |p^n| = 2^{n+1} - 1$ the upper bound on the complexity function from Lemma 2.16 and the lower bound on the complexity function following from Lemma 2.17 yield the same value. This is, however, just the content of the preceding corollary. This finishes the proof of (a).

(b) This follows easily from (a) and the previous corollary.

(c) By (a) we know that the lower bounds in Lemma 2.17 are sharp. Now, inspecting the proof of that lemma we find exactly the words which have more than one extension. □

*Remark* 2.20. It should be possible to base a discussion of the Arnoux-Rauzy graphs associated to the subshift $\Omega_\tau$ on the material presented in this section. In this context we note that $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ is closed under reflections by (the proof of) Prosposition 2.8 and, hence, the above statements about right special words easily translate on corresponding statements about left special words. This shows in particular that the words $p^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, are both right special and left special (and are the only words with this property).

2.5. **Generating the fixed point $\eta$ by an automaton.** In this section we show that the fixed point of the substitution can be generated by an automaton. This fits to the general theory on how to exhibit fixed points of substitutions by automata, see e.g. the monograph [1] to which we also refer for background on automata.

Consider the automaton $\mathcal{A}$ from figure 4. It is an automaton over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ with four states $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3$ labeled by $a, x, y, z$ respectively. Then, the infinite sequence

$$\mathcal{A}_{q_0} : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \longrightarrow \{a, x, y, z\}$$

generated by the automaton with initial state $q_0$ is defined as follows: Write $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ in its binary expansion as

$$n = x_0 2^i + x_1 2^{i-1} + \cdots + x_{i-1} 2 + x_i$$

with $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $x_j \in \{0, 1\}$, $j = 0, \ldots, i$. Consider now the path $p_n$ in the automaton starting in $q_0$ and following the sequence $x_0 x_1 \ldots x_i$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_{q_0}(n)$ is defined to be the label of the state where this path ends.




FIGURE 4. The automaton generating $\eta$

**Theorem 2.21.** *The fixed point $\eta$ of $\tau$ agrees with $\mathcal{A}_{q_0}$ (where the fixed point is considered as a map from $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ to $\{a, x, y, z\}$).*

This theorem is an immediate consequence of the next proposition. To state the proposition we will need some further pieces of notation. For each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and each state $q$ of the automaton we define $f^{(n)}(q)$ to be the word over $\{a, x, y, z\}$ of length $2^n$ obtained in the following way: Let $v_1, \ldots, v_{2^n}$ be the list of all words of length $n$ over $\{0, 1\}$ in lexicographic order (where $0 < 1$). Consider now for each $k = 1, \ldots, 2^n$ the path in the automaton starting at $q$ and following the word $v_k$. Then, the $k$-th letter of $f^{(n)}(q)$ is defined to be the label of the state where this path ends.

**Proposition 2.22.** *We have $f^{(n+1)}(q_i) = p^{(n)}\tau^{n+i}(x)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.*

*Proof.* This is proven by induction (see [24] for further details as well). The case $n = 1$ follows by inspection. Assume now that the statement is true for some $n \geq 1$ and consider $n + 1$. The lexicographic ordering of the words of length $n + 2$ over $\{0, 1\}$ is given by $0v_1, \ldots 0v_{2^{n+1}}$, $1v_1, \ldots 1, v_{2^{n+1}}$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_{2^{n+1}}$ is the lexicographic ordering of the words of length $n + 1$ over $\{0, 1\}$. From the rules of the automaton we then obtain $f^{(n+2)}(q_i) = f^{(n+1)}(q_0)f^{(n+1)}(q_{i+1})$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, where we set $q_4 = q_1$. The assumption for $n$ and the recursion now imply the desired statement. □

2.6. **Replacing $\tau$ by a primitive substitution.** The substitution $\tau$ arises naturally in the study of Grigorchuk groups $G$ and its Schreier graphs (see below). From the point of view of subshifts it has the disadvantage of not being primitive. It turns out that it is possible to find a primitive substitution $\xi$ with the same fixed point - and hence the same subshift - as $\tau$. The material presented here was pointed out to us by Fabien Durand [18].

Consider the substitution $\zeta$ on the alphabet $\{a, x, y, z\}$ with

$$\zeta(a) = ax, \zeta(y) = ay, \zeta(y) = az, \zeta(z) = ax(= \zeta(a)).$$

It is not hard to see that this is a primitive substitution.

**Proposition 2.23.** *For any natural number $n$ the equality $\zeta^n(a) = \tau^{n-1}(a)\tau^{n-1}(x)$ holds. In particular, the fixed point $\eta$ of $\tau$ agrees with the fixed point of $\zeta$ and the subshift $(\Omega_\zeta, T)$ generated by $\zeta$ agrees with $(\Omega_\tau, T)$.*

*Proof.* This follows rather directly by induction. A discussion including further details can also be found in [24]. □

Subshifts associated to primitive substitutions are linearly repetitive [17, 13]. Thus, one can base an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1 on the preceding result. Also, as $\zeta$ has constant length (i.e. the length of $\zeta(t)$ is the same for any $t$) and $\zeta(t)$ starts with $a$ for any $t$, a result of Dekking [16] implies purely discrete spectrum as well as that the so-called maximal equicontinuous factor is the binary odometer, see [24] for a more detailed exposition.

## 3. Connecting the dynamical system $(X, G)$ with the subshift $(\Omega_\tau, T)$

In this section we will link the Schreier graphs of Grigorchuk's group $G$ and the subshift $\Omega_\tau$ in a precise way. Throughout this section we will use the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{a, x, y, z\}$ and the alphabet $\mathcal{B} = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Moreover, we will denote the metric space of isomorphism classes of rooted connected graphs with labels in $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B})$ (see Section 1 as well).

We will approximate infinite graphs by finite graphs and describe this approximation via finite words approximating infinite words. To phrase this conveniently, it will be useful for us to equip the set of all words (finite and infinite) over $\mathcal{A}$ with a topology. To do so we will extend elements of $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to functions on $\mathbb{Z}$ which take an additional value $\star$ at those places where they are not originally defined. Specifically, choose an element $\star$ which does not belong to $\mathcal{A}$ and consider the new alphabet $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\}$ and equip it with the discrete topology. Then, $(\mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a compact set in the product topology. For an $\omega : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\}$ we define its *support*, $\mathrm{supp}(\omega)$, via

$$\mathrm{supp}(\omega) := \omega^{-1}(\mathcal{A}).$$

We then call the elements of

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\omega \in (\mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\})^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathrm{supp}(\omega) \text{ is an interval}\}$$

the *words associated to* $\mathcal{A}$. Here, a subset $I$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ is called an *interval* if with $a, b \in I$ also all $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \leq c \leq b$ belong to $I$. Clearly $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A})$ is a closed subset of $(\mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\})^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is invariant under the shift $T$. Hence, $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A})$ is compact and $(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A}), T)$ is a subshift (over the alphabet $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\}$). The elements of $\mathcal{A}^*$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ can be canonically identified with elements of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A})$ by extension by $\star$. More specifically, we will identify $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ with the function $\omega_w : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\})$ defined by $\omega(w)(n) = w_n$ for $n \in \{1, \ldots, |w|\}$ and $\omega(w)(n) = \star$ otherwise. Similarly, we will identify $\xi \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the function $\omega_\xi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cup \{\star\})$ defined by $\omega_\xi(n) = \xi(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega_\xi(n) = \star$ otherwise. These identifications will be tacitly assumed in the sequel.

### 3.1. The substitution $\Theta$.

In this section we present the graph version of $\tau$.

In Section 1.2 we have seen that the action of $G$ on the $n$-th level of the binary tree gives rise to the $n$-th level Schreier graphs $\Gamma_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Figure 1 as well). As discussed in [4], the substitutional rules given in Figure 5 describe how to construct recursively the graph $\Gamma_{n+1}$ from $\Gamma_n$, starting from the Schreier graph of the first level $\Gamma_1$. Specifically, the construction consists in replacing the labeled subgraphs of $\Gamma_n$ on the top of Figure 5 by the new labeled graphs given on the bottom of Figure 5:

The substitution rules and an easy induction directly give that for every natural number $n$ the graph $\Gamma_n$ has 'linear structure' with rightmost vertex given by $1^n$ and this vertex 'becomes'




FIGURE 5. The substitution $\Theta$ .

the rightmost vertex $1^{n+1}$ under the substitution (see [23] as well). The leftmost vertex is given by $1^{n-1}0$.

These rules, allowing to proceed from $\Gamma_n$ to $\Gamma_{n+1}$, suggest to study the substitution $\Theta$ acting in the following way on the set $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B})$ (see Figure 5 disregarding the notation under the vertices):

- it keeps the root;
- it replaces the edges labeled by $b$ with edges labeled by $d$, edges labeled by $c$ with edges labeled by $b$ and edges labeled by $d$ with edges labeled by $c$;
- it inserts between two vertices $v$ and $w$ connected by an edge of label $a$ two additional vertices $v_1, v_2$ as well as the following edges: edges with label $a$ from $v$ to $v_1$ and from $w$ to $v_2$, edges with label $b$ and $c$ respectively between $v_1$ and $v_2$, edges with label $d$ from $v_1$ to itself and from $v_2$ to itself.

By the very definition of this substitution and the preceding discussion we have the following result for the finite Schreier graphs.

**Proposition 3.1.** *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\Theta((\Gamma_{1^n}, 1^n)) = (\Gamma_{1^{n+1}}, 1^{n+1})$.*

It is not hard to see that $\Theta$ is compatible with graph isomorphisms. Thus, $\Theta$ induces a substitution on the set $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B})$. We will denote the induced action also by $\Theta$.

3.2. **The mapping Gr from words to graphs.** Here we will be interested in a special subset of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A})$ introduced at the beginning of this section. This subset $\mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A})$ consists of all $\omega \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following two properties:

- 1 belongs to the support of $\omega$ (i.e. $\omega(1) \in \mathcal{A}$).
- Whenever $\omega_n\omega_{n+1}$ is not equal to $\star\star$ then, exactly one of the two letters $\omega_n$ and $\omega_{n+1}$ is an $a$.

Note that the first condition is automatically satisfied for all elements of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A})$ coming from $\mathcal{A}^*$, $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The second condition means that every other letter is an $a$. Moreover, it ensures that the words in question start and finish with the letter $a$.

We will now construct a map from $\mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A})$ to the topological space $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B})$. To picture this map, it will be useful to think of the letters $x, y, z$ as encoding the pairs

$$\binom{b}{c}, \quad \binom{b}{d}, \quad \binom{c}{d},$$

respectively. Roughly speaking the map will replace a letter (at position $n$) in the word by graphs with two vertices ($n$ and $n+1$) connected by labeled edges according to the specific letter. In particular, in the case of finite words, the number of vertices of the graphs will exceed the number of letters of the word by one. Here are the details.

To $\omega \in \mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A})$ we associate a labeled rooted graph $\mathrm{gr}(\omega)$ in the following way.

*Vertices.* The set of vertices is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ given by the support $\mathrm{support}(\omega)$ of $\omega$ together with $m+1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\mathrm{support}(\omega)$ possesses a maximal element $m$.

*Root.* By the definition of $\mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A})$ the number 1 is always a vertex and this vertex is chosen as the root.

*Edges.* There are edges between vertices $n, k$ if an only if $|n-k| \leq 1$. Specifically, edges are assigned between $n$ and $n+1$ and from $n$ to itself and from $n+1$ to itself in the following way:

- If $\omega(n) = a$, then there is an edge between $n$ and $n+1$ labeled by $a$.
- If $\omega(n) = x$, then there are two edges between $n$ and $n+1$; one is labeled by $b$ and the other is labeled by $c$. Moreover, there is an additional edge from $n$ to itself labeled by $d$ and an additional edge from $n+1$ to itself labeled by $d$.
- If $\omega(n) = y$, then there are two edges between $n$ and $n+1$; one is labeled by $b$ and the other is labeled by $d$. Moreover, there is an additional edge from $n$ to itself labeled with $c$ and an additional edge from $n+1$ to itself labeled with $c$.
- If $\omega(n) = z$, then there are two edges between $n$ and $n+1$; one is labeled by $c$ and the other is labeled by $d$. Moreover, there is an additional edge from $n$ to itself labeled with $b$ and an additional edge from $n+1$ to itself labeled with $b$.
- If $n$ is the minimal element of the support of $\omega$ then there are additional three edges labeled with $b, c, d$ from $n$ to itself. If $n$ is the maximal element of the support of $\omega$ then there are additional three edges labeled with $b, c, d$ from $n$ to itself.

The map gr gives rise to a map Gr from words to $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B})$ by taking isomorphism classes via

$$\mathrm{Gr} : \mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B}), \omega \mapsto [\mathrm{gr}(\omega)],$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the isomorphism class.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The map Gr is continuous.*

*Proof.* Obviously, only local information enters the definition of gr, i.e., two elements of $\mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A})$ which agree on a large interval $J \subset \mathbb{Z}$ around 1 will give rise to graphs which agree on a large neighborhood around the root. Now, agreement on large balls around the root is exactly how the topology on $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B})$ is defined and the continuity statement easily follows. □

3.3. **The connection: $(X, G)$ as a factor of $(\Omega_\tau, G)$.** In this section we are going to connect $\tau$, $\Theta$ and Gr. More specifically, we will show that $\Omega_\tau$ admits a natural action of $G$ by homeomorphisms which is orbit equivalent to the shift, and that the dynamical system $(X, G)$ defined in Section 1.2 is a factor of $(\Omega_\tau, G)$, i.e. there exists a continuous surjective map, the *factor map*, $\psi : \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow X$ which intertwines the respective actions of $G$. This factor map is nothing but the restriction of Gr to $\Omega_\tau$.

The following immediate consequence of the definitions shows that the map Gr intertwines the actions of the substitutions $\tau$ and $\Theta$.

**Proposition 3.3.** *The substitution $\tau$ maps $\mathcal{A}^* \cap \mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A})$ into itself and the equality $Gr \circ \tau = \Theta \circ Gr$ holds on $\mathcal{A}^* \cap \mathcal{W}'(\mathcal{A})$.*

We can now state and prove the main lemma connecting the substitution $\tau$ and the (finite) Schreier graphs of Grigorchuk's group $G$. Recall that $\mathcal{F}$ maps a finite or infinite word $w$ to the isomorphism class of the rooted graph $(\Gamma_w, w)$ (compare Section 1.2).

**Lemma 3.4** (Connecting $\Gamma$ and $\tau$)**.** *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$Gr(\tau^{n-1}(a)) = [(\Gamma_{1^n}, 1^n)] = \mathcal{F}(1^n) \text{ and } Gr(\eta) = [(\Gamma_{1^\infty}, 1^\infty)] = \mathcal{F}(1^\infty).$$

*Proof.* The first pair of relations follows by an easy induction (compare Figure 5):

*$n = 1$:* We have $\tau^{n-1}(a) = a$. This translates into a graph $\mathrm{gr}(a)$ with two vertices 1 and 2 and one edge between them with label $a$ as well as loops on both vertices with labels $b, c, d$. This graph is clearly isomorphic to $\Gamma_1$.

*$n \Longrightarrow n+1$:* We can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gr}(\tau^{n+1}(a)) &= \mathrm{Gr}(\tau(\tau^n(a))) \\ \text{(Prop. 3.3)} &= \Theta \circ \mathrm{Gr}(\tau^n(a)) \\ \text{(statement for } n) &= \Theta(\mathcal{F}(1^{n+1})) \\ \text{(Prop. 3.1 )} &= \mathcal{F}(1^{n+2}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the first pair of relations. The second pair of relations can then be deduced from the continuity of Gr shown in Lemma 3.2 and the continuity of $\mathcal{F}$ shown in Lemma 1.1. □

Define the maps $A, B, C, D$ from $\Omega_\tau$ into itself by

- $A(\omega) = ...\omega_0\omega_1|\omega_2...$ if $\omega_1 = a$ and $A(\omega) = ....\omega_{-1}|\omega_0\omega_1...$ if $\omega_0 = a$.
- $B(\omega) = ...\omega_0\omega_1|\omega_2...$ if $\omega_1 \in \{x, y\}$, $B(\omega) = ....\omega_{-1}|\omega_0\omega_1...$ if $\omega_0 \in \{x, y\}$ and $B(\omega) = \omega$ in all other cases.
- $C(\omega) = ...\omega_0\omega_1|\omega_2...$ if $\omega_1 \in \{x, z\}$, $C(\omega) = ....\omega_{-1}|\omega_0\omega_1...$ if $\omega_0 \in \{x, z\}$ and $C(\omega) = \omega$ in all other cases.
- $D(\omega) = ...\omega_0\omega_1|\omega_2...$ if $\omega_1 \in \{y, z\}$, $D(\omega) = ....\omega_{-1}|\omega_0\omega_1...$ if $\omega_0 \in \{y, z\}$ and $D(\omega) = \omega$ in all other cases.

Clearly, $A, B, C, D$ are homeomorphisms and involutions. Denote by $H$ the group generated by $A, B, C, D$ within the group of homeomorphisms of $\Omega_\tau$.

**Theorem 3.5** (Factor theorem)**.** *The following statements hold:*

*(a) The group $G$ is isomorphic to the group $H$ via $\varrho : G \longrightarrow H$ with $\varrho(a) = A$, $\varrho(b) = B$, $\varrho(c) = C$ and $\varrho(d) = D$. In particular, there is a well defined action $\alpha$ of $G$ on $\Omega_\tau$ given by $\alpha_g(\omega) := \varrho(g)(\omega)$ for $g \in G$ and $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ and via this action we obtain a dynamical system $(\Omega_\tau, G)$.*

*(b) The dynamical system $(X, G)$ is a factor of the dynamical system $(\Omega_\tau, G)$ via the map*

$$\psi : \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow X, \omega \mapsto Gr(\omega),$$

*which is two-to-one.*

*(c) For every $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ the orbits $\{T^n\omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{\alpha_g(\omega) : g \in G\}$ coincide.*

*(d) The dynamical system $(\Omega_\tau, G)$ is uniquely ergodic and the unique $T$-invariant probability measure on $\Omega_\tau$ coincides with the unique $G$-invariant probability measure on $\Omega_\tau$.*

*Remark* 3.6. The (proof of the) theorem shows that $G$ embeds into the topological full group $[[T]]$ of $(\Omega_\tau, T)$, as the action of the generators $A, B, C, D$ on $\Omega_\tau$ can be represented locally as the action by $T^{\pm 1}$ and $T^0 = id$. In this context we also mention a recent article of Matte Bon [37] showing that the group $G$ (and other groups of intermediate growth introduced by the first author in [21]) embed into the topological full group $[[\phi]]$ of a minimal subshift $\phi$ over a finite alphabet. While his approach is different from ours it leads to the same subshift for the group $G$.

*Proof.* We will show (a) and (b) together. Let us define

$$\Omega_1 := \{T^k\tau^n(a) : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1\} \text{ and } \Omega_2 := \{T^n\eta : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}.$$

Recall also the reflection $\widetilde{\ }$ on the set $\Omega_\tau$ from Section 2.2, which maps $\omega = ...\omega_{-1}\omega_0|\omega_1\omega_2...$ to $\widetilde{\omega} = ...\omega_2\omega_1|\omega_0\omega_{-1}...$ In the proof, we will use the reflected version of the fixed point $\eta$ of the substitution $\tau$ given by $\widetilde{\eta} : \{...., -2, -1, 0, 1\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}, \widetilde{\eta}(n) = \eta(2 - n)$. Note that $\widetilde{\eta}$ is a reflected version of $\eta$ which is additionally shifted so that 1 belongs to its support. This latter property is needed as we can only associate rooted graphs to words having 1 in their support.

*Claim 1.* The closures $\overline{\Omega_1}$ and $\overline{\Omega_2}$ are compact and the following equalities hold:

$$\overline{\Omega_1} = \Omega_\tau \sqcup \{T^n\eta : n \geq 0\} \sqcup \{T^{-n}\widetilde{\eta} : n \geq 0\} \sqcup \Omega_1 \text{ and } \overline{\Omega_2} = \Omega_\tau \sqcup \Omega_2.$$

*Proof of the claim.* The sets in question are compact as they are closed subsets of the compact $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{A})$. It is clear that the unions are disjoint. The equalities follow easily from the minimality of $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ (as it implies that every word of $\mathrm{Sub}_\tau$ appears in $p^{(n)}$ for $n$ large enough and in $\eta$).

*Claim 2.* The following equalities hold:

$$\overline{\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_1)} = \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_1) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_2) \text{ and } \overline{\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_2)} = \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_2).$$

*Proof of claim.* We only show the statement for $\Omega_1$, the statement for $\Omega_2$ being even easier. As Gr is continuous and $\overline{\Omega_1}$ is compact, we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_1)} = \mathrm{Gr}(\overline{\Omega_1}).$$

Now, the desired equality follows from Claim 1 and the fact that the graphs associated to $\eta$ and to $\widetilde{\eta}$ coincide. Disjointness of the sets in question is clear and Claim 2 is proven.

Let us now show that the range of the restriction of Gr to $\Omega_\tau$ is $X$ and that the map

$$\psi : \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow X, \omega \mapsto \mathrm{Gr}(\omega),$$

is two-to-one. Recall that $X$ denotes the closure of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T})$ in $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{B})$ without its isolated points (see Section 1.2). By continuity of the map $\mathcal{F}$ given in Lemma 1.1 we clearly have

$$\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T}) \subset \overline{\{\mathcal{F}(x) : x \in \{0,1\}^*\}}.$$

Moreover, Lemma 3.4 implies that for $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ with $|x| \geq 1$ there exists a $k \in \{0, \ldots, 2^{|x|-1} - 1\}$ with

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathrm{Gr}(T^k\tau^{|x|-1}(a))$$

(as the graph underlying $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is exactly $\Gamma_{1^{|x|}}$ and the only choice left is the root). Putting this together we infer

$$\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T}) \subset \overline{\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_1)}.$$

By Claim 2, this implies $\overline{\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T})} \subset \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_1) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_2)$. Clearly, the elements of $\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_1) \sqcup \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_2)$ are isolated points and thus $X \subset \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau)$. Conversely, by the previous lemma we obviously have $\mathrm{Gr}(\eta) = \mathcal{F}(1^\infty) \in \mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T})$. The $G$-invariance of $\partial\mathcal{T}$ then gives

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_2) = \mathrm{Gr}(\{T^n\eta : n \geq 0\}) \subset \mathcal{F}(G \cdot 1^\infty) \subset \mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T}).$$

By Claim 2 this implies $\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau) \subset \overline{\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_2)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}(\partial\mathcal{T})}$. The points in $\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau)$ are not isolated, as $(\Omega, T)$ is minimal This implies $\mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau) \subset X$. Put together, these considerations give

$$X = \mathrm{Gr}(\Omega_\tau).$$

We next show that the map $\psi$ is two-to-one. As we have just shown, for any $x \in X$ there exists an $\omega = ...\omega_{-1}\omega_0|\omega_1\omega_2... \in \Omega_\tau$ with $\mathrm{Gr}(\omega) = x$. Then, $\widetilde{\omega} \in \{a, x, y, z\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ with

$$\widetilde{\omega} = ...\omega_2\omega_1|\omega_0\omega_{-1}...$$

belongs to $\Omega_\tau$ by Proposition 2.8, and clearly satisfies $\mathrm{Gr}(\widetilde{\omega}) = x$ as well, by the definition of Gr. As there is exactly one $a$ among $\omega_0\omega_1$, the two sequences $\omega$ and $\widetilde{\omega}$ are different. This shows that any $x \in X$ has at least two inverse images under Gr. Conversely, the Schreier graph $\mathrm{Gr}(\omega)$ clearly determines the sequence $\omega$ up to one overall reflection given by$\widetilde{\ }$and the statement follows.

We now show that the group $H$ generated by $A, B, C, D$ is isomorphic to $G$. Consider the surjective map

$$\delta : \{a, b, c, d\}^* \longrightarrow H$$

defined by $\delta_a := A, \delta_b := B, \delta_c := C, \delta_d := D$ and $\delta_v := \delta_{v_1} \circ ... \circ \delta_{v_n}$ for $v_1 \dots v_n \in \{a, b, c, d\}^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that $\delta$ induces a group isomorphism from $G$ to $H$.

By definition of $A, B, C, D$,for every $s \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ the equality

$$(*) \quad \mathrm{Gr}(\delta_s\omega) = s\ \mathrm{Gr}(\omega).$$

holds. This implies

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\delta_v\omega) = v_1 \cdot ... \cdot v_n\ \mathrm{Gr}(\omega)$$

whenever $v_1 \dots v_n \in \{a, b, c, d\}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

*Claim 3.* For any $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ with $T^p\omega \neq \omega^{(s)}$ for all $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^{-1}(v\ \mathrm{Gr}(\omega)) \cap \{T^k\omega : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\delta_v\omega\}$$

for all $v = v_1 \dots v_n \in \{a, b, c, d\}^n$.

*Proof of the claim.* We already know that the two inverse images of Gr of an element of $X$ differ by a reflection$\widetilde{\ }$. The claim then follows from Corollary 2.10.

*Claim 4.* For any $v = v_1 \dots v_n \in \{a, b, c, d\}^n$, $\delta_v = id$ if and only if $v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_n = e \in G$.

*Proof of the claim.* $\Longrightarrow$: We have $\delta_v = id$. By $\mathrm{Gr}(\delta_v\omega) = v\ \mathrm{Gr}(\omega)$ we can write

$$\mathrm{Gr}(\omega) = \mathrm{Gr}(\delta_v\omega) = v\ \mathrm{Gr}(\omega)$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$. This shows that $v$ acts as the identity on $X$. Invoking the factor map $\phi : X \longrightarrow \partial\mathcal{T}$ we infer that the action of $v$ on $\partial\mathcal{T}$ is the identity as well. As $G$ acts faithfully on $\partial\mathcal{T}$, we conclude $v = e \in G$.

$\Longleftarrow$: Assume $v = v_1 \cdot \dots \cdot v_n = e \in G$. By Claim 3 we have

$$\{\delta_v\omega\} = \mathrm{Gr}^{-1}(v\mathrm{Gr}(\omega)) \cap \{T^n\omega : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\omega\}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ with $T^p\omega \neq \omega^{(s)}$ for all $s \in \{x, y, z\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. This shows $\delta_v = id$ on a dense set in $\Omega_\tau$ and $\delta_v = id$ follows.

From Claim 4 and the definition of $\delta$ we directly obtain that there is a group isomorphism $\varrho : G \longrightarrow H$ with $\varrho(a) = A$, $\varrho(b) = B$, $\varrho(c) = C$ and $\varrho(d) = D$.

This completes the proof of statements (a) and (b). The statement (c) is a direct consequence of the definition of $A, B, C, D$. Finally, the statement (d) follows easily by considering cylinder sets around the origin of the form

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega(m) \dots \omega(m + |v| - 1) = v\}$$

for $v \in \mathcal{W}_\tau$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m < 0$ and $m + |v| - 1 > 0$, and noting that the generators of $G$ act on such sets either as identity or as $T$ or as $T^{-1}$. □

## 4. Application to spectral theory of the Laplacians associated to the Schreier graphs

In this section we will bring the results of all the previous sections together in order to treat the spectral theory of the operators $M_x(t, u, v, w)$, $x \in X$. We will use Theorem 3.5 to show that each of these operators is unitarily equivalent to an operator from a family $H_\omega$, $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$, of Schroedinger operator with aperiodic order. Spectral properties of Schroedinger operators with aperiodic order in turn were heavily studied in the past twenty five years or so (see the introduction for further details and references). We will then use the combinatorial results of Section 2 and the known theory of such Schroedinger operators to describe spectral properties of the $H_\omega$, $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ and hence the $M_x(t, u, v, w)$, $x \in X$.

Let four parameters $t, u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Set $D := u + v + w$ and define $f : \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \Omega_\tau \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(\omega) := \begin{cases} t & : \ \omega_0 = a \\ D - w & : \ \omega_0 = x \\ D - v & : \ \omega_0 = y \\ D - u & : \ \omega_0 = z \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g(\omega) := \begin{cases} w : \omega_{-1}\omega_0 \in \{ax, xa\} \\ v : \omega_{-1}\omega_0 \in \{ay, ya\} \\ u : \omega_{-1}\omega_0 \in \{az, za\} \end{cases}.$$

For us the actual definition of these functions is not that important. What matters is that theses functions are *locally constant* (i.e. their values at $\omega$ depond only on $\omega(-N) \dots \omega(N)$ for some fixed natural number $N$). Moreover, we will use that these functions are not periodic if $u = v = w$ does not hold (as follows directly from Proposition 2.3). We will need the set

$$\mathcal{P} := \{(t, u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : t \neq 0, u + v \neq 0, u + w \neq 0, v + w \neq 0\}$$

as $f$ does not vanish anywhere if and only if the parameters $(t, u, v, w)$ belong to $\mathcal{P}$.

Define for each $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ the selfadjoint bounded operator $H_\omega : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$(H_\omega\varphi)(n) = f(T^{n-1}\omega)\varphi(n - 1) + f(T^n\omega)\varphi(n + 1) + g(T^n\omega)\varphi(n)$$

for all $\varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let $(t, u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ be given. Consider $x \in X$. Then, there exists an $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ such that $H_\omega$ is unitarily equivalent to $M_x(t, u, v, w)$.*

*Proof.* By the surjectivity of $\psi$ in Theorem 3.5, there exists an $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$ with $\psi(\omega) = x$. By the definition of $\psi$, the graph $\mathrm{gr}(\omega)$ is then a representative of $x$ (recall that $x$ is an isomorphism class of graphs). Denote its set of vertices by $V$. By the definition of gr there exists a map

$j : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that the edges emanating from $p \in V$ are described by $\omega_{j(p)-1}\omega_{j(p)}$. The map $j$ gives rise to the unitary map

$$U : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \ell^2(V), \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ j.$$

Via this unitary map the operator $M_x(t, u, v, w)$ is converted to the operator

$$\widetilde{H}_x := U^{-1} M_x(t, u, v, w) U$$

on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. For $\varphi \in \ell^2(V)$, the value of $M_x(t, u, v, w)\varphi(p)$ at a $p \in V$ is determined by the (weights of the) edges emanating from $p$ (and by $\varphi$). As these edges are encoded by $\omega_{j(p)-1}\omega_{j(p)}$ the value of $(\widetilde{H}_x U^{-1}\varphi)(j(p))$ is then encoded by the values of $\omega_{j(p)-1}\omega_{j(p)}$ as well. The exact dependance on $\omega_{j(p)-1}\omega_{j(p)}$ follows from an direct calculation and yields $\widetilde{H}_x = H_\omega$. □

Combining the previous proposition with known results on Cantor spectrum of Lebesgue measure zero, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 4.2** (Cantor spectrum)**.** *Let $(t, u, v, w) \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $u = v = w$ does not hold. Then, there exists a Cantor set $\Sigma$ of Lebesgue measure zero with $\Sigma = \sigma(M_x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

*Proof.* As shown in [5] (see [33] for the case $f \equiv 1$ as well) a family of Schroedinger operators associated to a linearly recurrent subshift has Cantor spectrum of Lebesgue measure zero provided the underlying functions $f$ and $g$ are locally constant and not periodic and $f$ does not vanish. (The consideration of [5] even allow for a more general situation than linear repetitivity. They deal with the so-called Boshernitzan condition.) Now, the subshift $(\Omega_\tau, T)$ is linearly repetitive by Theorem 2.1 and clearly $f$ does not vanish if the parameters belong to the set $\mathcal{P}$. Moreover, as discussed already at the beginning of this section $f$ and $g$ are locally constant and they are not periodic if $u = v = w$ does not hold. So, from [5] we obtain that there exists a Cantor set $\Sigma$ with $\sigma(H_\omega) = \Sigma$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_\tau$. From Proposition 4.1, we then obtain the desired result. □

*Remark* 4.3. The case $u = v = w$ is treated in [4] and an explicit description of the spectrum (in terms of the values of $u$ and $t$) can be found there. From [4] it is also already known that the spectrum is independent of $x \in X$ (where arbitrary values of $t, u, v, w$ are fixed).

We can also use the so-called Gordon Lemma (after [20]) from the theory of Schroedinger operators with aperiodic order to exclude eigenvalues. The Gordon Lemma does not seem to be in the literature in the generality needed here. So we briefly discuss some details next.

**Lemma 4.4** (Gordon Lemma)**.** *Let $(f_n)$ and $(g_n)$ be two bounded sequences in $\mathbb{R}$. Consider the self-adjoint operator $H$ acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via*

$$(H\varphi)(n) = f_n\varphi(n+1) + f_{n-1}\varphi(n-1) + g_n\varphi(n).$$

*If there exists a sequence of natural numbers $L_k \to \infty$ such that for every $k$*

$$(f_n, g_n) = (f_{n-L_k}, g_{n-L_k}) = (f_{n+L_k}, g_{n+L_k})$$

*with $n = 1, \ldots, L_k$, then the operator $H$ does not have any eigenvalues.*

*Proof.* In the case $f \equiv 1$ this is well-known, see e.g. the review [7]. The proof presented there can be adapted to our more general case: Choose $E \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrarily and consider a function $u : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f_n u(n+1) + f_{n-1}u(n-1) + (g_n - E)u(n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have to

show that such a $u$ does not belong to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ except if $u$ vanishes everywhere. To do so, we define for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\widetilde{u}_n := \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ f_n u(n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

and let the *transfer matrix* $\tilde{M}_n$ be the linear map which sends $\widetilde{u}_0$ to $\widetilde{u}_n$. Then, all $\tilde{M}_n$ have determinant equal to one (see e.g. [5]). Given this, we can now continue as in the proof of the usual Gordon lemma to conclude (for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\max\{\|\widetilde{u}_{2L_k}\|, \|\widetilde{u}_{L_k}\|, \|\widetilde{u}_{-L_k}\|\} \geq \frac{1}{4}\|\widetilde{u}_0\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{C}^2$. As $(f_n)$ is bounded and $L_k \to \infty$, the function $u$ can not belong to $\ell^2$ (except if it vanishes everywhere). □

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let $(\Omega, T)$ be a subshift over a finite alphabet, $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $f, g : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be locally constant such that $f$ is nowhere zero. Assume that there exists a sequence of finite words $w_n$ with $|w_n| \to \infty$ as well as non-empty prefixes $v_n$ of $w_n$ with $|v_n| \to \infty$ such that*

$$\omega = ...w_n w_n | w_n v_n ...,$$

*where $|$ denotes the position of the origin. Then, $H_\omega$ does not have any eigenvalues.*

*Proof.* By assumption, $f, g$ are locally constant. After applying a suitable shift we can then assume without loss of generality that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the values of $f$ and $g$ only depend on the positions $0, \ldots, N$. For sufficiently large values of $n$ we will have $|v_n| \geq N$. Thus, the sequences $k \mapsto f(T^k\omega)$ and $k \mapsto g(T^k\omega)$ will satisfy the assumptions of the previous lemma. The lemma then gives the desired statement. □

**Theorem 4.6** (Absence of eigenvalues)**.** *Assume $(t, u, v, w) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $u = v = w$ does not hold.*

*(a) For almost every $x \in X$ the operator $M_x(t, u, v, w)$ does not have eigenvalues. In particular, for $\mu$-almost every $\xi \in \partial\mathcal{T}$ the operator $M_\xi$ does not have eigenvalues.*

*(b) For every $x \in X_1$ the operator $M_x$ does not have eigenvalues.*

*Proof.* The first statement of (a) with $H_\omega$ instead of $M_x(t, u, v, w)$ can be shown by combining Corollary 4.5 with Lemma 2.11. The actual statement for the $M_x(t, u, v, w)$ then follows from Proposition 4.1. The last statement of (a) then follows from the discussion in Section 1.2, which provided an almost everywhere one-to-one map $\phi : X \longrightarrow \partial\mathcal{T}$.

Part (b) follows by combining Corollary 4.5 with Lemma 2.12 and Proposition 4.1. □

*Remark* 4.7. In this section we were concerned with $(t, u, v, w) \in \mathcal{P}$. For every $(t, u, v, w) \notin \mathcal{P}$ the operator in question can be decomposed as a sum of finitely many finite dimensional operators each appearing with infinite multiplicity. Thus, the spectrum is pure point with finitely many eigenvalues each with infinite multiplicity.

## 5. Outlook

Besides generalization of our results and further study of groups with linear Schreier graphs, as described in the introduction, we would like to discuss here various further alleys of research. We plan to work on these issues in the future.

*Absence of eigenvalues.* Our results show absence of eigenvalues for 'most' of the operators $M_x(t, u, v, w)$, $x \in X$, as well as for a few particularly interesting special cases. It is an open question whether this absence of eigenvalues actually holds for all values of the parameters $x \in X$.

*Other self-similar groups.* The group $G$ studied in this paper belongs to the class of self-similar groups. In many cases, self-similarity of a group action on a regular rooted tree leads to a finite collection of rules that allow one to construct inductively the Schreier graphs $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ for the action on the levels of the tree. Linearity of Schreier graphs was important in our approach, however, it is possible that similar considerations can be carried out also for other self-similar groups with Schreier graphs of higher complexity . This is especially interesting in relation with the spectral problem of Schreier graphs of other 'important' self-similar groups like the Basilica group or the Hanoi Tower group where only partial results exist so far.

*Finer spectral properties.* Various tools are available nowadays to study finer Hausdorff properties of spectra of Schroedinger operators associated with aperiodic order (see e.g. [8] for a recent survey). This machinery is (mostly) based on showing that the spectrum is dynamically generated by some maps. These maps arise via traces of periodic approximants and are called 'trace maps'. It is tempting to think that these ideas can be applied to our example. In fact, this may tie in well with the way how the infinite Schreier graphs are generated via approximation by $\Gamma_n$'s.

*Exploration of spectra via the map $F$.* Our approach to the spectral properties of the Laplacian is very different from the one in [4]. Their approach works via a map $F$ encoding the self-similar structure of the spectrum, and the spectrum is then seen as a the intersection of a line and a certain $F$-invariant set $\Delta$ in Euclidean space that has to be identified among all $F$-invariant subsets. A similar method was also applied in [14] for spectral computations of an oriented Laplacian related to the dimers model on the Schreier graphs of self-similar groups. It will be very interesting to see whether the knowledge of spectral properties gained in the present paper can help to further explore the approach to the Laplacian spectrum via the map $F$. Also, it seems not unreasonable that there is a connection between the map $F$ and the approach to spectral properties via trace maps mentioned in the previous point.

## References


[1] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalization*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).

[2] M. Baake, U. Grimm: *Aperiodic Order: Volume 1, A Mathematical Invitation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **149**, Cambridge university press, Cambridge (2014).

[3] M. Baake, R. Moody (Eds.): *Directions in Mathematical Quasicrystals*, CRM Monogr. Ser. **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2000).

[4] L. Bartholdi and R. I. Grigorchuk: *On the spectrum of Hecke type operators related to some fractal groups*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **231** (2000), Din. Sist., Avtom. i Beskon. Gruppy, 5–45; translation in *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* (2000), no. 4 (**231**), 1–41.

[5] S. Beckus, F. Pogorzelski: *Spectrum of Lebesgue measure zero for Jacobi matrices of quasicrystals*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **16** (2013), 289–308.

[6] I. Bondarenko, D. D'Angeli, T. Nagnibeda: *Ends of Schreier graphs and cut-points of limit spaces of self-similar groups*, Arxiv.

[7] D. Damanik: *Gordon-type arguments in the spectral theory of one-dimensional quasicrystals*, in [3], 277–305.

[8] D. Damanik, M. Embree, A. Gorodetski: *Spectral properties of Schroedinger operators arising in the study of quasicrystals*, in: [31].

[9] D. Damanik, D. Lenz: *Uniform spectral properties of one-dimensional quasicrystals, I. Absence of eigenvalues*, Commun. Math. Phys. **207** (1999), 687–696
[10] D. Damanik, D. Lenz: *The index of Sturmian sequences*, European J. Combin. **23** (2002), 23–29.
[11] D. Damanik, D. Lenz: *Powers in Sturmian sequences*, European J. Combin. **24** (2003), 377–390.
[12] D. Damanik, D. Lenz: *Substitution dynamical systems: characterization of linear repetitivity and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **321** (2006), 766–780.
[13] D. Damanik, D. Zare: *Palindrome complexity bounds for primitive substitution sequences*, Disc. Math. **222** (2000), 259–267.
[14] D. D'Angeli, A. Donno, T. Nagnibeda: *Counting dimer coverings of self-similar Schreier graphs*, European J. of Combin. **33**, (2012), 1484–1513.
[15] D. D'Angeli, A. Donno, M. Matter, T. Nagnibeda: *Schreier graphs of the Basilica group*, J. Mod. Dyn. **4** (2010), 167–205.
[16] F. M. Dekking: *The spectrum of dynamical systems arising from substitutions of constant length*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **41** (1977/78), 221–239.
[17] F. Durand: *Linearly recurrent subshifts have a finite number of non-periodic subshift factors*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **20** (2000), 1061–1078.
[18] F. Durand, private communication, 2015.
[19] F. Durand, B. Host, C. Skau: *Substitution dynamical systems, Bratteli diagrams and dimension groups*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **19** (1999), 953–993.
[20] A. Gordon: *The point spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator (Russian)*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **31** (1976), 257–258.
[21] R. I. Grigorchuk: *On Burnside's problem on periodic groups. (Russian)* Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **14** (1980), 53 – 54.
[22] R. I. Grigorchuk: *Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means (Russian)*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **48** (1984), 939–985.
[23] R. I. Grigorchuk: *Some problems of the dynamics of group actions on rooted trees (Russian)*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **273** (2011), Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki, 72–191; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **273** (2011), 64–175.
[24] R. I. Grigorchuk, D. Lenz, T. Nagnibeda: *Schreier graphs of Grigorchuk's group and a subshift associated to a non-primitive Substitution*, to appear in: Groups, Graphs, and Random Walks. T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, M. Salvatori and E. Sava-Huss Eds, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
[25] R. I. Grigorchuk, D. Lenz, T. Nagnibeda: *Families of groups with linear Schreier graphs, subshifts and spectra*, in preparation.
[26] R. I. Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevych: *Self-similar groups, operator algebras and Schur complements*, Journal of Modern Dynamics, **1**, (2007) 323–370.
[27] R. I. Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevich, V. Sushanskii: *Automata, dynamical systems and infinite groups*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **231** (2000), 134-214.
[28] R. I. Grigorchuk, Z. Sunic: *Asymptotic aspects of Schreier graphs and Hanoi Towers groups*, Comptes Rendus Math. Acad. **342** (2006), 545–550
[29] R. I. Grigorchuk, Z. Sunic: *Schreier spectrum of the Hanoi towers group on three pegs*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, **77** (2008), 183–198.
[30] R. I. Grigorchuk, A. Zuk: *The lamplighter group as a group generated by a 2-state automaton, and its spectrum*, Geom. Dedicata **87** (2001), 209–244.
[31] J. Kellendonk, D. Lenz, J. Savinien (eds): *Directions in aperiodic order*, Progress in Mathematics **309**, Birkhäuser, Basel (2015).
[32] J. Lagarians, P. A. B. Pleasants: *Repetitive Delone sets and quasicrystals*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **23** (2003), 831–867.
[33] D. Lenz: *Singular spectrum of Lebesgue measure zero for one-dimensional quasicrystals*, Comm. Math. Phys. **227** (2002), 119–130.
[34] D. Lenz: *Uniform ergodic theorems on subshifts over a finite alphabet*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **22** (2002), 245–255.
[35] D. Lind, B. Marcus: *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1995).
[36] I. G. Lysenok: *A set of defining relations for the Grigorchuk group (Russian)*, Mat. Zametki **38** (1985), 503–516. English translation in: Math. Notes **38** (1985), 784–792.

[37] N. Matte Bon: *Topological full groups of minimal subshifts with subgroups of intermediate growth*, Preprint 2014 (arXiv:1408.0762).
[38] R. V. Moody (ed): *The Mathematics of Long-Range Aperiodic Order*, NATO-ASI Series C 489, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1997) 239–268.
[39] V. Nekrashevych: *Self-similar Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 117. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
[40] V. Nekrashevych: *Periodic groups from minimal actions of the infinite dihedral group*, arXiv:1601.01033.
[41] B. Solomyak: *Nonperiodicity implies unique composition for self-similar translationally finite tilings*, Discr. Comput. Geom. **20** (1998), 265–279.
[42] Z. Sunic: *Hausdorff dimension in a family of self-similar groups* Geometriae Dedicata **124** (2007), 213–236.
[43] Y. Vorobets: *On a substitution subshift related to the Grigorchuk group*, preprint 2009, (ArXiv:0910.4800).
[44] Y. Vorobets: *Notes on the Schreier graphs of the Grigorchuk group* , Dynamical systems and group actions, 221–248, Contemp. Math., 567, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.

Mathematics Department, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA

*E-mail address*: grigorch@math.tamu.edu

Mathematisches Institut, Friedrich Schiller Universität Jena, 07743 Jena, Germany

*E-mail address*: daniel.lenz@uni-jena.de

Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, 2-4, Rue du Lièvre, Case Postale 64 1211 Genève 4, Suisse

*E-mail address*: Tatiana.Smirnova-Nagnibeda@unige.ch